



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/875,063	(	06/06/2001	Warren M. Farnworth	4245US (98-0288)	7843
24247	7590	11/21/2003		EXAMINER	
TRASK BI			RACHUBA, MAURINA T		
P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2	,			3723	-
				DATE MAILED: 11/21/2003	, 10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/875,063	FARNWORTH ET AL.	
·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	M Rachuba	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applicall) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 to the content of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for replying later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee.	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>32-36 and 66-70</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-31,37-65	5 and 71-75.		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	oroved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	

M Rachuba Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3723

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

**Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)** 

**Application No. 09/875,063** 





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Proposed amendment to claims 33 and 66, which require that a portion of the semiconductor device remain in contact with at least one cutting pedestal, is a new issue. The applied reference, to Wark, applies a vacuum to the semiconductor device but it is open to interpretation whether the device is in contact with the pedestal. This requires further consideration, and most probably, new search.